

Libertyville, IL 60048

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,576 11/21/2003		Heiko K. Sacher	CS23123US	3260	
7590 02/08/2008			EXAMINER		
Motorola, Inc. 600 North U.S. Highway 45					

ART UNIT DATE MAILED: 02/08/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

1. Amendments to the specification:

A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.

B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.

C. Other ______.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/719,576	SACHER ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BORIS PESIN	2174	

The amendment document filed on <u>12 September 2007</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:

	2. Abstract. 1. A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.				
	B. Other				
	 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top ma "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). 	argin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or			
	B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing corrections showing amended figures, without markings, in comparison of the property of th				
	C. Other	onance with 37 GFR 1.04 are required.			
	 ☑ 4. Amendments to the claims:	atus identifier, and as such, the individual status of every claim must be indicated after its claim ss: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), rawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).			
	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accessee Continuation Sheet	cordance with 37 CFR 1.4):			
ю	r further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1	.121, see MPEP § 714.			
ΓIN	ME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:				
١.	Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amend filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-comp entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.				
2.	Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendmen (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.				
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle acti				
	Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amend filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendmen amendment.				
	/David Wiley/ Spe 2174 Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.			
S.	Patent and Trademark Office	Part of Paper No. 20080130			

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324) Application No. 10/719,576

Continuation of 4(e) Other: MPEP 714 States,

"Amendments to a claim must be made by rewriting the entire claim with all changes (

e.g., additions and deletions) as indicated in this subsection, except when the claim is being canceted. "MPEP 714 further states, "(4) When claim text shall not be presented; canceting a claim, (i) No claim text shall be presented for any claim in the claim listing with the status of 'canceted' or "not entered." In the response filed 9/12/2007, the Applicant appears to have canceted several of the claims; however the text of the claims has been stricten out indicative of an amendment not a cancellation.

Continuation of 5 Other: Furthermore, MPEP 714.02 states, "In order to be entitled to reconsideration or further examination, the applicant or patent owner must reply to the Office action. The reply by the applicant or patent owner must be reduced to a writing which distinctly and specifically points out the supposed errors in the examiner's action and must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. If the reply is with respect to an application, a request may be made that objections or requirements as to form not necessary to further consideration of the claims be held in abeyance until allowable subject matter is indicated. The applicant's or patent owner's reply must appear throughout to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application or the reexamination proceeding to final action. A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the lainayuse of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section." Here, the Applicant has made general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.